

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/432,334

11/02/99

GUIDOTTI

R 98-2069

023413 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD CT 06002

IM22/0306

EXAMINER

MAPLES I
ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

1745
DATE MAILED:

-03/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. Applicant(s) GUID OTTI Office Action Summary JOHN 5 MAPLE —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** __MONTH(8) FROM THE MAILING DATE A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ___ OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** S Claim(s) ie/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s). are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on_ is approved disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

Attachment(s)

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) .

□ received.

*Certified copies not received:

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other _____

Office Action Summary

¬□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)

Application/Control Number: 09/432,334

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a first method for making an electrode, classified in class 29, subclass 623.5.
 - II. Claims 21-28, drawn to a an electrode, classified in class 429, subclass218.1.
 - III. Claims 29-36, drawn to a second method for making an electrode, classified in class 29, subclass 623.5.
 - IV. Claims 37-40, drawn to a cathode, classified in class 429, subclass 221. (It is assumed claims 38-40 are to depend on claim 37, even though they recite a method)
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Each of Groups II and IV could be made by materially different methods than that shown by either Group I or III such as by forming the electrodes by cold pressing of powders.

 The Group III method is different from the Group I method because it includes pyrite, which material is not encompassed by the Group I claims. The two products of Groups II and IV are distinct because Group IV comprises pyrite which is not part of Group II.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/432,334

Art Unit: 1745

- 3. Because the inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes is deemed proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. A telephone call was made to Leah Reimer on February 28, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is (703) 308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gabrielle Brouillette, can be reached on (703) 308-0756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Application/Control Number: 09/432,334

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JSM/March 5, 2001